## Water Quality and Freshwater Mussel Status in Mining-Influenced Virginia-Tennessee Rivers Carl E. Zipper

Collaborators and Abstract Co-authors: B. Beaty, The Nature Conservancy J.W. Jones, US Fish and Wildlife Service C. Lott and R. Stewart, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality







## **Presentation Goals:**

Describe status of freshwater mussel fauna in the Clinch and Powell Rivers of Virginia and Tennessee.

Review what is known about threats to the fauna in these mining-influenced rivers.

Review ongoing research that is intended to identify ecosystem stressors that are causing faunal decline in some river sections.

## Freshwater Mussels - Basic Biology

- ✓ 300 species in North America (highest diversity worldwide) > 70 federally listed.
- ✓ 45 species, 20 federally listed, are extant in Clinch & Powell Rivers.
- ✓ Free-living adults, larvae parasitic on fish gills.
- Limited mobility, can move along substrate with muscular foot, stay anchored in the sediment by staying partially buried.
- ✓ Filter feeders, remove food particles and bacteria from water column.
- ✓ Long lived, usually 15 to 100+ years depending upon species.
- ✓ Sensitive to water and sediment contaminants, esp. metals, ammonia.









## Major Threats (Global) to Freshwater Mussels

(Williams et al. 1993, Bogan 1997, Bogan 2001)

- Siltation, from erosion, runoff, unstable streambanks
- Pollution: they are particularly sensitive to some substances
- Dams, channelization
- Decline of host fishes
- Invasive species





### Clinch and Powell Rivers Of Southwestern Virginia and Northeastern Tennessee







## **Questions:**

- Why are mussels doing so poorly in some areas, when they are doing well in others that are close by?
- Are specific stressors responsible for mussels' poor status in some areas?

Following slides summarize completed and ongoing research intended to answer those questions.

1. Mussels have experienced declines in sections of both rivers over decades.





1. Mussels have experienced declines in sections of both rivers over decades. In contrast, mussels are doing well in other

areas.





2. Watershed influence by mining, as evidenced by water conductivity and total dissolved solids, has been increasing in both rivers over periods of mussel decline.

3. Total Dissolved Solids concentrations –
indicator of mining influence in these watersheds
-- are negatively correlated, both spatially and
temporally, with freshwater mussel status in both
rivers.

# Cumulative mining, VA coalfield as detected by Landsat, 1984-2011



Dissolved Solids, Clinch River: Mean values and temporal trends: 1960s – 2010, by river section.





River sections designated by numbers: 0 (Tennessee) to 6 (furthest upstream)

Price et al. 2014



Dissolved Solids, Clinch River: Mean values and temporal trends: 1960s – 2010.





Johnson et al. (2014) measured continuous SC in Sections 0 and 2; found SC in Sec. 2 > SC in Sec. 0 (p<0.05).

Later, Sec. 2 is described as "impacted reach"





Estimate annual surface coal production, Powell River watershed -assuming (a) equivalent proportions of Wise Co. totals for both mined land disturbance and coal production; (b) estimates for missing years.



Total Dissolved Solids DEQ monitoring data, 1967 – 2014: Highly significant increasing trend at Big Stone Gap (through 6/10). Increasing trends also present further downstream.



Spatial correlations of river km with mussel metrics in

250- 200- 150-	River km	-0.65 **	-0.58 **	-0.63 **
20- 15- 10- 5-		Mussel Species	0.78 ****	0.73 ***
20 15 10 5			Mussel CPUE	0.63 **
2- 1.5- 0.5- 0-				Mussel Density
10	00 150 200 250	0 5 10 15 20	0 5 10 15 20	0 0.5 1 1.5 2

Powell River

River km is proxy for mining influence / TDS, since major mining influence is in the headwaters.

Mussel data from Johnson et al. (2012) based on 2008-2009 sampling.

(chart from Zipper et al. 2016)

#### Temporal Correlations: Environmental Indicators vs. Mussel Status

RKM 288 = Big Stone Gap

- RKM 223-231 = Jonesville
- RKM105-194 = Lower Virginia to Tennessee
- Mussel density near VA-TN state line (4 locations; data from Alstedt et al. 2017).

(chart from Zipper et al. 2016)

2000- 1985-	Year	0.97 ****	0.98 ****	0.83 ****	0.92 ****	0.75 ****	-0.81 *
60 40 20	Ϊ	RKM 288 Coal	0.99 ****	0.87 ****	0.97 ****	0.86 ****	-0.71 †
0.6	$\checkmark$		RKM 224 Decay-wt. Disturb.	0.87 ****	0.97 ****	0.83 ****	-0.75 †
600- 500- 400- 300- 200-				RKM 288 TDS mean	0.92 ****	0.72 ****	-0.72 †
300- 250- 200-	شر	، مر	<b>A</b>	، بېږ . مغور	RKM 223-231 TDS 5-yr mean	0.87 ****	-0.68 †
220- 200- 180- 160- 140-	× /	ممر بز	فمربز		<sup>ور</sup> برج:	RKM 105-194 TDS 5-yr mean	-0.65
7- 5- 3-	1985 <sup>2015</sup>	0 20 60	0 0.4 1	300 600	200 300	160 220	Mussel density 3 5 7 9

4. Bioassays to test influence of environmentally relevant concentrations of major ions (TDS) on juvenile mussel survival and growth have revealed no significant toxicity or growth impairments. *Hypothesis:* Major ions / TDS / Specific Conductance are exerting toxic influence in the Clinch and/or Powell Rivers?

*Investigate Hypothesis:* 56 day exposure of juvenile *Villosa Iris,* a native mussel, to major ion mixtures equivalent to "worst case" measured conditions both rivers.



<u>Methods</u> (con'd): Experiment was fully replicated (6 groups for each of 4 treatments: Clinch, Powell, full pond control, ½ control); 1200 mussels total.

#### <u>Results:</u>

No statistically significant difference between either Powell or Clinch treatment with control ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

Powell growth was nominally depressed, relative to Clinch and control.

<u>Conclusion</u>: Results do not support the "major ion toxicity" hypothesis – at least for *V. iris* at that life stage.

Ciparis et al. 2015

5. Mussels are known to be sensitive to metals.

Both water-column and tissue concentrations of potentially toxic metals are elevated in mininginfluenced sections of the Clinch River where mussel declines have been noted.

## VA DEQ – TN DEC Cooperative Water Monitoring

Coordinated baseflow monitoring of Clinch River by state agencies, for the purpose of enabling interstate water quality comparisons



#### VA DEQ – TN DEC Cooperative Water Monitoring Mean values of TN-Reference and Upstream-Reference parameters that are both significantly different from Impacted Reach means (Yr 1)



US Geological Survey Study: Clinch River Compare tissue concentrations of caged (V. iris) and resident (A. pectorosa) mussels in Impacted Reach vs. Horton Ford (TN Reference)





Johnson et al. 2014 N.C. State University Study (Archambault et al. 2017) Trap suspended sediments at multiple locations. Expose juvenile *E. brevidens* to sediments in laboratory biossays. Assess relationships of mussel survival and biomass to sediments' extractable constituents.

Survival was negatively correlated with: ➤ Mn, Co, NH₄



Biomass was negatively correlated with:

Mn, Co, Total Organic C



6. Recent data show that polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs) concentrations are elevated in Clinch River sections where mussel declines have been noted, relative to other sections.

N.C. State University Study (Archambault et al. 2017) Trap suspended sediments at multiple locations .... Assess relationships of mussel status to sediments' extractable constituents .

<u>Results:</u> Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are elevated in river sections of poor mussel status.



Petrogenic PAH (i.e. derived from unburned fossil fuel -- including coal, oils, etc.) are 65-85% of total PAH at most locations.

## Conclusions

- Freshwater mussel populations in the Clinch and Powell Rivers are a unique and valued biodiversity resource.
- Freshwater mussels have declined relative to historic levels in most sections of the Clinch River and throughout the Powell River.
- Mussel declines appear as correlated with coal-mining influence, but specific stressors responsible for declines are not known.
- Candidate responsible stressors include:
  - TDS/major ions (perhaps working through subtle mechanisms such as effects on reproduction).
  - Metals: Via bioaccumulative mechanisms and/or physiological interactions with fine-particulate forms.
  - PAHs derived from unburned fossil fuels.
- Observed effects may be caused by synergistic interactions of multiple contaminants.

#### References

Ahlstedt SA et al. Quantitative monitoring of freshwater mussel populations from 1979–2004 in the Clinch and Powell Rivers of Tennessee and Virginia, with miscellaneous notes on the fauna. J. Mollusk Biol. Cons. (In press)

Archambault JM et al. 2017. Assessing toxicity of contaminants in riverine suspended sediments to freshwater mussels. Environ. Tox. Chem. 36: 395-407.

Ciparis S et al. 2015. Effects of environmentally relevant mixtures of major ions on a freshwater mussel. *Environ. Pollut.* 207: 280-287.

Johnson GC.et al. 2014. Influences of water and sediment quality and hydrologic processes on mussels in the Clinch River. *JAWRA* 50: 878-897.

Johnson MS et all 2012. Freshwater mussels of the Powell River, Virginia and Tennessee: abundance and distribution in a biodiversity hotspot. Walkerana, 15: 83-98.

Jones JW et al. 2009. Status and trends of freshwater mussel populations, Clinch and Powell Rivers, Virginia and Tennesee. Prepeard for Clinch-Powell Clean Rivers Inititiative (unpublished).

Jones JW et al. 2014. Clinch River freshwater mussels upstream of Norris Reservoir, Tennessee and Virginia: a quantitative assessment from 2004 to 2009. *50*(4), pp.820-836.

Li J et al. 2015. Reconstructing disturbance history for an intensively mined region by time-series analysis of Landsat imagery. Environ. Mon. Assess. 187(9),:557.

Price JE et al. 2014. Water and sediment quality in the Clinch River, Virginia and Tennessee, USA, over nearly five decades. *JAWRA 50*: 837-858.

Zipper CE et al. 2014. Freshwater mussel population status and habitat quality in the Clinch River, Virginia and Tennessee, USA: A featured collection. *JAWRA 50:* 807-819.

Zipper CE et al. 2016. Spatial and temporal relationships among watershed mining, water quality, and freshwater mussel status in an eastern USA river. *Sci Tot Environ 541*: 603-615.

Thanks to many contributors and collaborators for the research reported.

Thanks to US Office of Surface Mining, Virginia DMME, and The Nature Conservancy for funding the VA DEQ – TN DEC Cooperative Water Monitoring Study.

Thanks to Clinch Powell Clean Rivers Initiative for providing mechanism for collaboration and funding.

Thanks for cooperative efforts by parties representing the The Nature Conservancy, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, US Geological Survey, NC State University, and other entities.