Mine Reclamation Applications of a New Water Budget Model: Wetbud

W. Lee Daniels

Dept. of Crop & Soil Environmental Sciences

http://www.landrehab.org/WETBUD



Who's doing what?

Zach Agioutantis, Univ. of Kentucky -- Programmer & MODFLOW W. Lee Daniels, Virginia Tech -- Program coordinator & gadfly Ben Hiza, Old Dominion University – Julie Metz models / groundwater Stephen Stone, Old Dominion University – Huntley Meadows models Tess Thompson, Virginia Tech – Surface water & ET estimators Rich Whittecar, Old Dominion University – Groundwater & MODFLOW

> Previous Graduate Students: Kerby Dobbs, Matt Gloe, John McCleod, Eric Neuhaus, O. Waverly Parks, Candice Piercy, Tracy Thornton, Cal Smith

Research Associates/Specialists: Dan Evans, Katie Haering, Sara Klopf and Laura Lehman.

Objectives

- Review brief history of "water budgeting issues" for wetland creation in the mid-Atlantic region of the USA.
- Describe the development and basic structure of our new water budget model Wetbud

• Provide an overview of Wetbud's data requirements, functions and outputs that are potentially useful for mining applications.

Aylett Sand & Gravel Mine in October 1998 Results in Daniels et al. (ASMR 2002) Western portion of site in April of 1999 following revegetation. Site was chosen as potential for building created wetlands for mitigation of impacts to widening of US 460 (never happened).

Created Wetland Water Budgeting

- Wide variation in water budgeting approaches among agencies and consultants.
- Many agencies follow and/or recommend variations of the "Pierce Approach" whereby ground water flux is presumed minimal, ET is estimated via Thornthwaite, runoff additions are estimated via SCS/NRCS Runoff Curve Number Method, water is presumed to be detained over the site via a berm, and water level is controlled via an outlet, etc.

Fort Lee Water Budget Studied by USGS & Virginia Tech in late 1990's.

Well REF3A

> Well 7-4 **S. Poorly Drained**

Wet/Ponded

> 20 wells/piezometers monitored for > 2 years along with direct measurements of all water budget components.



Hydroperiod of created soil vs native soil at Ft. Lee; the mitigation site soil was dominated by fac upland vegetation. Only ~20% of the site was characterized by this type of hydroperiod.



Wetland creation site in mineral flat or pocosin type landform. Here, designers presumed that excess rainfall vs. ET + minimal infiltration losses would drive the annual water budget. Before adjustments were made, this site was "too dry" in summer, but very wet in the winter. A commonly employed "simple" way to create a mitigation wetland is to create a perched system

Can work on hilltops with low permeability intentionally compacted subsoils



Surface soil from an anonymous 3year old mitigation wetland.

Note massive structure in surface breaking to firm plates at about 20 cm. This is the "traffic pan" that was designed to perch the water table, but also led to extremely dry summer conditions.



Water Budget Model Issues Addressed by Wetbud

- "Bath Tub" vs. Sloped Systems
- Vegetative Flow Resistance
- Groundwater Inputs vs. data?
- Overbank Flow Contribution
- Which Precipitation Data?
- Variations in ET Estimators
- Complex topography











GSOD Dry/Normal/Wet year calculations. Procedure version 2014-11-22 Precipitation Data based on NOAA/GSOD Station: 724010 Wet / Dry / Normal Splits based on WETS Station: VA7201 Data set examined: From year: 1973 Data set examined: To year: 2014 User input: Minimum accepted year: 1973 Dry Year Maximum Precipitation (in): 39.56 Wet Year Minimum Precipitation (in): 46.79 Records in the 30% Dry split (sorted by precipitation): 9 Year in the 30% Dry split: 1:2001-->32.29 Year in the 30% Dry split: 2:1997-->34.03 Records in the 40% Normal split (sorted by precipitation): 13 Year in the 40% Normal split: 1:1981-->39.91 Year in the 40% Normal split: 2:1986-->41.75 Records in the 30% Wet split (sorted by precipitation): 20 Year in the 30% Wet split: 1:1977-->46.86 Year in the 30% Wet split: 2:1983-->47.56 Year in the 30% Wet split: 3:2004-->48.33 . . . Starting calculations for the Dry year Records in the 30% Dry split: 9 Median in the 30% Dry split: 5 Checking year: 1976 in slot: 5 Dry Spring Check: Score for Month: 3 is 1 Dry Spring Check: Score for Month: 4 is 1 Dry Spring Check: Score for Month: 5 is 1 Dry Spring Check: Score for Month: 6 is 1 Dry Spring Check: Total Score: 4 Spring is Dry: Year Accepted: 1976 _____ Starting calculations for the Normal year Records in the 40% Normal split: 13 Median in the 40% Normal split: 7 Checking year: 1990 in slot: 7 Normal Spring Check: Score for Month: 3 is 2 Normal Spring Check: Score for Month: 4 is 2 Normal Spring Check: Score for Month: 5 is 2 Normal Spring Check: Score for Month: 6 is 3 Normal Spring Check: Total Score: 9 Spring is Normal: Year Accepted: 1990 _____

Wetbud will auto download either nearest or chosen **NOAA** weather station data and then choose the typical Wet, Dry and Normal years out of the last 30 via an internal algorithm that has been accepted by **USCOF and VA DFO.**



Projects Parameters Basic Models Advanced Models Reports Utilities Help



Project Wizard



Note that this is another created wetland in a sand & gravel mine. Designers here ignored GW and most of it turned into an open water system

Wetbud is <u>freeware</u> and available for download at www.landrehab.org/WETBUD

Current Project: None

01.04.00.69 c:\wetbud\wetbud2.fdb

X

Basic Model via the Wizard

- Automatically downloads nearest applicable weather station data (30 years) in Virginia from 15 pre-selected locations and populates ppt and ET estimators for W-N-D years. Will download other data for other states, but "data clean-up" is required.
- In Wizard mode, assumes no overbank and GW input; assumes GW losses at 1"/month.
- User inputs wetland and watershed size and runoff CN.
- Model runs in < 5 minutes once simple inputs are made.
 Daily time-step but results are charted monthly.



Water Budget for Normal Year: 1998



-120.00



Wetbud Advanced Version

Allows for 3-D modeling including multiple water/soil/substrate layers, slopes, variable wetland topography, etc.

Incorporates more rigorous groundwater flux modeling via MODFLOW (basic model uses a simplified Darcy approach)

Generates daily models of water surface topography in 3D or for any cross-section. Will generate detailed hydroperiod prediction for any location in wetland.



Model and Component Validation & Calibration



Huntley Meadows – Fairfax (detailed ET x 4 and GW studies)

Northfork Bank – Haymarket (basic model + overbank flow)

Cedar Run 3 – W. of Quantico

Others at Julie Metz, Bender Farms, Pocahontas, etc.



a **DAVEY** 🙅 company

Advanced Model Output for Project: PSF_Example



Х

Useful Mining Applications

• Will automatically download NOAA weather data from nearest station (need to clean up zeros etc.)

 Built in algorithm will choose W-N-D years from last 30 years of complete data

• Automatically calculates monthly (Thornthwaite) or daily (Penman) ET

Useful Mining Applications

- Will run simple CN driven runoff estimates for receiving basins or you can add custom hydrograph data
- Internal model (Wem) will generate 30-year estimates of water table fluctuations if you can provide 6 months to 1 year of daily data for an upgradient "good responsive well"
- Of course, it will also generate a wetland water budget!

Where do I get Wetbud?

The latest versions is always available at <u>www.landrehab.org/WETBUD</u>

- The download is simple, but you need to wait while it loads and processes.
- You will also see a database program called "Firebird" being installed; that's ok.

Acknowledgments

- Funds for various portions of this research were provided by Wetland Studies and Solutions Inc. and the Peterson Family Foundation.
- Thanks to all the students, post-docs and research staff cited in this talk. Too many to list!
- I particularly want to thank Jim Perry (VIMS) for his input over the past 20 years.