The effect of gypsum coatings and hydrodynamic factors on ALD performance



J. Donald Rimstidt Danielle M.C. Huminicki

Department of Geosciences Virginia Tech Blacksburg VA 24061

geosciences at virginia tech



The problem with making laboratory studies useful is scaling them to field conditions

- Need to develop appropriate models
- Need to find out model parameters

©Rimstidt & Huminicki, 2006



2

In this talk I will show how geochemical engineering principles can be used to evaluate the effect of:

- Gypsum coatings on ALD performance
- Hydrodynamics on ALD performance



Gypsum coatings are strongly adherent so they are difficult to remove

HFO coatings are not so they can be removed by flushing



Gypsum coatings form at low pH and high sulfate concentrations



The gypsum coatings cause the calcite dissolution rate to decline over time





The rate declines because the gypsum coating acts as a barrier to hydrogen ion transport to the calcite surface

Fick's law of diffusion $J = -D \frac{dC}{x}$

7

Integrating Fick's first law using the appropriate boundary conditions gives us the number of moles of calcium released from the dissolving calcite as a function of time

$$n_{Ca,T} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{DC_B A_R}{f_{gyp}\phi V_M}}\right) t^{1/2} = kt^{1/2}$$

The gypsum layer builds up as a function of $t^{1/2}$ so the rate declines as a function of $t^{1/2}$

The Ca is distributed between solution and the precipitating gypsum

$$n_{Ca,T} = n_{Ca,sol} + n_{Ca,gyp} = kt^{1/2}$$

$$n_{Ca,sol} = kt^{1/2} - n_{Ca,gyp}$$

Determine *k* empirically from the data and then find *D* from *k*:

$$k = \sqrt{\frac{DC_B A_R}{f_{gyp} \phi V_M}}$$

H⁺ diffusion coefficient in gypsum coating = 5.2×10^{-14} m²/s H⁺ diffusion coefficient in seawater = 9.31×10^{-8} m²/s

We tested the model by predicting the results of our experiments



Gypsum coatings can be controlled by lowering the $(a_{Ca})(a_{SO4})$ activity product

- Sulfate activity can be lowered by diluting the solutions with water from non-AMD sources
- Calcium activity can be lowered by using dolomite to neutralize the acidity

Neutralization of AMD by calcite releases 1 mole of Ca per 2 moles of H⁺ consumed so we can estimate the $(a_{Ca}a_{SO})$ activity product from pH and m_{SO4}

Diluting the solution can avoid gypsum formation in some cases



Rate of neutralization by dolomite is greater than calcite with gypsum coatings after ~1 month of reaction



Most of our experiments showed no gypsum coating development and the rates were controlled by pH

Experiment	Rate law	log k
no sulfate	r = ka _{H+} ^{0.83}	-2.28
sulfate no coatings	r = ka _{H+} ^{0.87}	-1.84
coatings	<i>r</i> = <i>kt</i> ^{-0.5}	-1.96

Calcite dissolution rates are also controlled by Reynolds number



We fit calcite dissolution rates as a function of pH and Re log r = -0.87pH + 0.51log Re - 3.31



We recast *Re* as a function of *q* and *D* in a packed-bed

log r = 0.51 log Re – 0.87pH – 3.31 *Re = qD*/υ

- q Darcy velocity (cm/sec)
- D pore diameter (cm)
- υ kinematic viscosity (cm²/sec)

log *r* = 0.51 log *qD*/υ − 0.87*pH* − 3.31

We can model ALDs as ideal plug flow reactors



Governing equations

Integrated rate law	$\left(\frac{m}{m_0}\right)^{-n+1} = \frac{-kt(-n+1)}{m_0^{-n+1}} + 1$
Residence time	$t = \frac{V_P \phi}{Q}$
Mass flow rate, m ³ /sec	$Q = qA_c$
Reactor volume	$V_P = (A_c)(l)$
<i>k</i> = f(<i>Re</i>)	$\log k = 0.51 \log \text{Re} - 3.31$
Re = f(q, D)	$\operatorname{Re} = \frac{qD}{\upsilon}$

©Rimstidt & Huminicki, 2006

Low Darcy velocity leads to long contact times resulting in neutralization over a shorter reactor length





Low Darcy velocity means low through-put so larger installations are required





