Too Much of a Good Thing? An Update on the Aquatic Life Water Quality Criteria for Selenium

> Mindy Yeager Armstead Potesta & Associates, Inc.



# Life is getting more complicated

- o BAT
- Water quality based
- Metals (In hardness equation, translators)
- Ammonia (temp and pH)
- o Selenium



### The Inverse Relationship



#### **Proposed Acute Selenium Criteria**

Selenite - 258 µg/l
Selenate - e (0.5812[ln sulfate)]+3.357)
417 µg/l at 100mg/l Sulfate

Establishes linear relationship between sulfate and selenate toxicity.

Conservative versus complex approach



# **Proposed Chronic Criterion**

### • Fish Tissue Criterion

- 7.91 $\mu$ g/g dry weight
- With additional sampling if whole body fish tissue concentrations exceed 5.85 µg/g dry weight during the summer or fall.



# How is that going to work?

Have to develop water column number that protects the tissue criteria

Water column criteria = TRC/BAF

Bioaccumulation Factor (BAF) – Concentration in tissue/concentration in water



# **BAF** and **BCF**

Bioconcentration factor calculated using water column testing and food chain multiplier (FCM). Can be generated in the lab and used in place of BAF.



# How is that going to work?

#### Alternatives:

- Define the relationship between water column and tissue numbers
  - Become predictive through statistics
  - Generate enough field data to override the need to understand
    - $\circ$  Be conservative
  - Combination of both
    - $\circ$  Become predictive by modeling



## **BAF** is predictive

Define linear relationship • Abiotic factors (Temp, sulfate, flow, water body type, selenium form)

 $\bigcirc$ 

Biotic Factors
(food chain, fish size and age)





# BAF is not predictive

- Establish Geometric Mean of field collected data
  - (i.e. EC50, protect 95% of sites)
- More similar to setting water column criteria
- Bypass the need to understand dynamics





# Predictive modeling

- Recent study by Brix et al. 2005
- Combines aspects of both scenarios using Monte Carlo modeling
- More complicated with substantial data requirements and expertise



### Brix et al. 2005







## Brix et al. 2005







# **EPA Preferred Method**

- Field measured bioaccumulation factors are most preferred approach for developing BAFs for inorganic chemicals but regional or site-specific BAFs are encouraged
- Site specific or regional BAFs will be less conservative and more realistic



# **Reasons Selenium is Difficult**

### Abiotic factors – pH and hardness not that important

- Other factors: temp, sulfate, flow, water body type, selenium form harder to evaluate
- Biotic factors controlling selenium tissue concentrations primarily via diet



### Ecology Lesson – Food Web









# Lessons Learned

- Much research on organics accumulation and concentration in fish tissue BUT they tend to correlate with tissue lipid concentrations and are easier to make linear (predictive)
- Most work done for human fish consumption (PCBs and dioxin)



# Lessons Learned

### • Methyl mercury

- First tissue criteria for inorganic January 2001
- Attempted national criteria but had too much variability
- Did not find sign. differences in lentic/lotic but it was close and they used a lot of canal data so ???



# Where to go from here?

Need to develop database for regional or state BAFs (Maine use 95% UCL from regional database for MeHg BAF).

Best to get predictive data (develop a model) but any regional database likely to be better than national

Keep up to date on modeling efforts and consider more complex techniques



# Need to be involved

Relationship with sulfate critical to the development of BAF that works in coal mining areas – most people will not care if that relationship is developed fully.

